

MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING
CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF LA CRESCENT, MINNESOTA
JULY 10, 2017

Pursuant to due call and notice thereof, the first meeting of the City Council of the City of La Crescent for the month of July was called to order by Mayor Mike Poellinger at 5:30 PM in the La Crescent City Hall, La Crescent, Minnesota, on Monday, July 10, 2017, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

Upon a roll call taken and tallied by the City Administrator, the following members were present: Members Bernie Buehler, Ryan Hutchinson, Brian Krenz, Dale Williams and Mayor Mike Poellinger. Members absent: None. Also present was City Administrator Bill Waller, City Attorney Skip Wieser, and City Engineer Tim Hruska.

Mayor Poellinger asked if anyone wished to take action to change the agenda as presented. There were no changes requested.

ITEM 1 – CONSENT AGENDA

At this time, the Mayor read the following items to be considered as part of the Consent Agenda for this regular meeting:

- 1.1 MINUTES – JUNE 26, 2017
- 1.2 BILLS PAYABLE THROUGH JULY 6, 2017

At the conclusion of the reading of the Consent Agenda, Mayor Poellinger asked if the Council wished to have any of the items removed from the Consent Agenda for further discussion. Member Krenz made a motion, seconded by Member Williams, as follows:

A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AS PRESENTED

Upon a roll call vote taken and tallied by the City Administrator, all Members present voted in favor thereof, viz;

Bernie Buehler	Yes
Ryan Hutchinson	Yes
Brian Krenz	Yes
Dale Williams	Yes
Mike Poellinger	Yes

and none voted against the same. The motion was declared duly carried.

City Administrator Waller reviewed with City Council the Agenda Request Forms that were received. The process for Agenda Requests was reviewed with City Council. No action taken.

ITEM 3.1 – EXCEL ENERGY FRANCHISE AGREEMENT

City Attorney Wieser reviewed with City Council for their consideration, a franchise ordinance regarding Northern States Power Company d/b/a as Xcel Energy. The franchise ordinance is what permits Xcel to continue to operate its electric distribution system within the City of La Crescent. No action was taken by City Council until after the 5:35 PM Public Hearing.

ITEM 2.0 – PUBLIC HEARING – ANNEXATION – 1323 VALLEY LANE

At 5:35 PM the City Council held a public hearing to consider input on the proposed annexation of the property located at 1323 Valley Lane that the owners had requested to be annexed and the adoption of Ordinance No. 514 annexing this property. City Attorney Wieser reviewed the map and findings for the Ordinance. Mayor Poellinger opened the meeting for public comment. There were no public comments. Following further discussion, Member Krenz introduced the following Ordinance, and moved its passage and adoption:

ORDINANCE NO. 514

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LA CRESCENT, MINNESOTA ANNEXING LAND LOCATED IN LA CRESCENT TOWNSHIP, HOUSTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA PURSUANT TO MINNESOTA STATUTES § 414.033 SUBDIVISION 2(3), PERMITTING ANNEXATION BY ORDINANCE

WHEREAS, a petition signed by all the property owners, requesting that property legally described herein be annexed to the City of La Crescent, Minnesota, was duly presented to the Council of the City of La Crescent on the 22nd day of May, 2017; and

WHEREAS, said property is unincorporated and abuts the City of La Crescent on each of its boundaries; is less than 120 acres; is not presently served by public sewer facilities or public sewer facilities are not otherwise available; and

WHEREAS, said property is currently residential and annexation is requested to facilitate the extension of city services for the residential development of the property; and

WHEREAS, the City of La Crescent held a public hearing pursuant to Minnesota Statutes § 414.033 Subd. 2b, on July 10, 2017, following thirty (30) days written notice by certified mail to the Town of La Crescent and to all landowners within and contiguous to the area legally described herein, to be annexed; and

WHEREAS, provisions of Minnesota Statutes § 414.033 Subd. 13 are not applicable in that there will be no change in the electric utility service provider resulting from the annexation of the territory to the municipality.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LA CRESCENT HEREBY ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The City Council hereby determines that the property as hereinafter described abuts the city limits and is or is about to become urban or suburban in nature in that residential use is being proposed for said property which requires or will need city services, including public sewer facilities.

2. None of the property is now included within the limits of any city, or in any area that has already been designated for orderly annexation pursuant to Minnesota Statute § 414.0325.

3. The corporate limits of the City of La Crescent, Minnesota, are hereby extended to include the following described property, said land abutting the City of La Crescent and being 120 acres or less in area, and is not presently served by public sewer facilities or public sewer facilities are not otherwise available, and the City having received a petition for annexation from all the property owners of the land, to wit:

LOT FOURTEEN (14), BLOCK TWO (2), CRESCENT VALLEY FIRST ADDITION TO LA CRESCENT TOWNSHIP, HOUSTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA.

The above described property consists of a total of 0.47 acres, more or less. Copies of the corporate boundary map showing the property to be annexed and its relationship to the corporate boundaries and all appropriate plat maps are attached hereto.

4. The City of La Crescent, pursuant to Minnesota Statutes § 414.036, that with respect to the property taxes payable on the area legally described herein, hereby annexed, shall make a cash payment to the Town of La Crescent in accordance with the following schedule:

a. In the first year following the year in which the City of La Crescent could first levy on the annexed area, an amount equal to \$817.82; and

b. In the second and final year, an amount equal to \$817.82.

5. That pursuant to Minnesota Statutes § 414.036 with respect to any special assessments assigned by the Town to the annexed property and any portion of debt incurred by the Town prior to the annexation and attributable to the property to be annexed, but for which no special assessments are outstanding, for the area legally described herein there are no special assessments or debt incurred by the Town on the subject are for which reimbursement is required.

6. That the City Administrator of the City of La Crescent is hereby authorized and directed to file a copy of this Ordinance with the Municipal Boundary Adjustment Unit of the Office of Administrative Hearings, the Minnesota Secretary of State, the Houston County Auditor, and the La Crescent Township Clerk.

7. That this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect and final upon the date this Ordinance is approved by the Office of Administrative Hearings.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of La Crescent, Minnesota, this 10th day of July, 2017.

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Administrator

In approving the above Motion, the City Council made the following findings of facts:

1. The property owners signed a Petition requesting that the property be annexed to the City of La Crescent;
2. The property is not presently served by public sewer facilities and public sewer facilities are not otherwise available;
3. The property is currently residential;
4. Minnesota Statutes § 414.033 Subd. 13 is not applicable as there will be no change in the electric utility service provider; and
5. More than 30 days written notice was provided to La Crescent Township and to contiguous landowners by certified mail.

The foregoing motion was duly seconded by Member Buehler and upon a roll call vote taken and tallied by the City Administrator, all Members present voted in favor thereof, viz;

Bernie Buehler	Yes
Ryan Hutchinson	Yes
Brian Krenz	Yes
Dale Williams	Yes
Mike Poellinger	Yes

and none voted against the same. The ordinance was declared duly passed and adopted.

City Attorney Wieser then reviewed with Council the Summary Ordinance for publication. The Council made the following findings of facts: that publication of the summary informs the public of the intent and effect of the Ordinance.

Member Hutchinson then made a motion, seconded by Member Krenz as follows:

MOTION THAT A PRÉCIS FORMAT OF SAID ORDINANCE 514 BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL NEWSPAPER OF THE CITY AND WITH “OFFICIAL COPY” SO MARKED BE KEPT ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon a roll call vote taken and tallied by the City Administrator, all Members present voted in favor thereof, viz;

Bernie Buehler	Yes
Ryan Hutchinson	Yes
Brian Krenz	Yes
Dale Williams	Yes
Mike Poellinger	Yes

and none voted against the same. The motion was declared duly carried.

After the adoption of the Ordinance, the Council reconvened with the schedule of the Regular City Council Meeting.

ITEM 3.1 – EXCEL ENERGY FRANCHISE AGREEMENT (con't)

Following further discussion of the Ordinance regarding the Excel Energy Franchise Agreement , Member Krenz introduced the following Ordinance, and moved its passage and adoption:

ORDINANCE NO. 515

ELECTRIC FRANCHISE ORDINANCE

CITY OF LA CRESCENT, HOUSTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA

AN ORDINANCE GRANTING TO NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY, A MINNESOTA CORPORATION, ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, PERMISSION TO CONSTRUCT, OPERATE, REPAIR AND MAINTAIN IN THE CITY OF LA CRESCENT, MINNESOTA, AN ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AND TRANSMISSION LINES, INCLUDING NECESSARY POLES, LINES, FIXTURES AND APPURTENANCES, FOR THE FURNISHING OF ELECTRIC ENERGY TO THE CITY, ITS INHABITANTS, AND OTHERS, AND TO USE THE PUBLIC GROUNDS AND PUBLIC WAYS OF THE CITY FOR SUCH PURPOSES.

The City Council of the City of La Crescent, Houston County, Minnesota, ordains:

SECTION 1. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Ordinance, the following capitalized terms listed in alphabetical order shall have the following meanings:

1.1 **City.** The City of La Crescent, County of Houston, State of Minnesota.

1.2 **City Utility System.** Facilities used for providing non-energy related public utility service owned or operated by City or agency thereof, including sewer and water service, but excluding facilities for providing heating, lighting or other forms of energy.

1.3 **Commission.** The Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, or any successor agency or agencies, including an agency of the federal government, which preempts all, or part of the authority to regulate electric retail rates now vested in the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission.

1.4 **Company.** Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation, its successors and assigns.

1.5 **Electric Facilities.** Electric transmission and distribution towers, poles, lines, guys, anchors, conduits, fixtures, and necessary appurtenances owned or operated by Company for the purpose of providing electric energy for public use.

1.6 **Notice.** A written notice served by one party on the other party referencing one or more provisions of this Ordinance. Notice to Company shall be mailed to the General Counsel, 401 Nicollet Mall, 8th Floor, Minneapolis, MN 55401. Notice to the City shall be mailed to the City Hall, 315 Main Street, PO Box 142, La Crescent, MN 55974. Either party may change its respective address for the purpose of this Ordinance by written notice to the other party.

1.7 **Public Ground.** Land owned by the City for park, open space or similar purpose, which is held for use in common by the public.

1.8 **Public Way.** Any street, alley, walkway or other public right-of-way within the City. **SECTION 2.**

ADOPTION OF FRANCHISE.

2.1 **Grant of Franchise.** City hereby grants Company, for a period of 20 years from the date passed and approved by the City, the right to transmit and furnish electric energy for light, heat, power and other purposes for public and private use within and through the limits of the City as its boundaries now exist or as they may be extended in the future. For these purposes, Company may construct, operate, repair and maintain Electric Facilities in, on, over, under and across the Public Grounds and Public Ways of City, subject to the provisions of this Ordinance. Company may do all reasonable things necessary or customary to accomplish these purposes, subject, however, to such reasonable regulations as may be imposed by the City pursuant to ordinance and to the further provisions of this franchise agreement.

2.2 **Effective Date; Written Acceptance.** This franchise agreement shall be in force and effect from and after passage of this Ordinance, its acceptance by Company, and its publication as required by law. The City, by Council resolution, may revoke this franchise agreement if Company does not file a written acceptance with the City within 90 days after publication.

2.3 **Service and Rates.** The service to be provided and the rates to be charged by Company for electric service in City are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The area within the City in which Company may provide electric service is subject to the provisions of Minnesota Statutes, Section 216B.40.

2.4 **Publication Expense.** The expense of publication of this Ordinance will be paid by City and reimbursed to City by Company.

2.5 **Dispute Resolution.** If either party asserts that the other party is in default in the performance of any obligation hereunder, the complaining party shall notify the other party of the default and the desired remedy. The notification shall be written. Representatives of the parties must promptly meet and attempt in good faith to negotiate a resolution of the dispute. If the dispute is not resolved within 30 days of the written notice, the parties may jointly select a mediator to facilitate further discussion. The parties will equally share the fees and expenses of this mediator. If a mediator is not used, or if the parties are unable to resolve the dispute within 30 days after first meeting with the selected mediator, either party may commence an action in District Court to interpret and enforce this franchise or for such other relief as may be permitted by law or equity for breach of contract, or either party may take any other action permitted by law.

SECTION 3. LOCATION, OTHER REGULATIONS.

3.1 **Location of Facilities.** Electric Facilities shall be located, constructed and maintained so as not to interfere with the safety and convenience of ordinary travel along and over Public Ways and so as not to disrupt normal operation of any City Utility System previously installed therein. Electric Facilities shall be located on Public Grounds as determined by the City. Company's construction, reconstruction, operation, repair, maintenance and location of Electric Facilities shall be subject to permits if required by separate ordinance and to other reasonable regulations of the City to the extent not inconsistent with the terms of this franchise agreement. Company may abandon underground Electric Facilities in place, provided at the City's request, Company will remove abandoned metal or concrete encased conduit interfering with a City improvement project, but only to the extent such conduit is uncovered by excavation as part of the City improvement project.

3.2 **Field Locations.** Company shall provide field locations for its underground Electric Facilities within City consistent with the requirements of Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 216D.

3.3 Street Openings. Company shall not open or disturb any Public Ground or Public Way for any purpose without first having obtained a permit from the City, if required by a separate ordinance, for which the City may impose a reasonable fee. Permit conditions imposed on Company shall not be more burdensome than those imposed on other utilities for similar facilities or work. Company may, however, open and disturb any Public Ground or Public Way without permission from the City where an emergency exists requiring the immediate repair of Electric Facilities. In such event Company shall notify the City by telephone to the office designated by the City as soon as practicable. Not later than the second working day thereafter, Company shall obtain any required permits and pay any required fees.

3.4 Restoration. After undertaking any work requiring the opening of any Public Ground or Public Way, Company shall restore the same, including paving and its foundation, to as good a condition as formerly existed, and shall maintain any paved surface in good condition for one year thereafter. The work shall be completed as promptly as weather permits, and if Company shall not promptly perform and complete the work, remove all dirt, rubbish, equipment and material, and put the Public Ground or Public Way in the said condition, the City shall have, after demand to Company to cure and the passage of a reasonable period of time following the demand, but not to exceed five days, the right to make the restoration at the expense of Company. Company shall pay to the City the cost of such work done for or performed by the City. This remedy shall be in addition to any other remedy available to the City for noncompliance with this Section 3.4, but the City hereby waives any requirement for Company to post a construction performance bond, certificate of insurance, letter of credit or any other form of security or assurance that may be required, under a separate existing or future ordinance of the City, of a person or entity obtaining the City's permission to install, replace or maintain facilities in a Public Way.

3.5 Avoid Damage to Electric Facilities. Nothing in this Ordinance relieves any person from liability arising out of the failure to exercise reasonable care to avoid damaging Electric Facilities while performing any activity.

3.6 Notice of Improvements. The City must give Company reasonable notice of plans for improvements to Public Grounds or Public Ways where the City has reason to believe that Electric Facilities may affect or be affected by the improvement. The notice must contain: (i) the nature and character of the improvements, (ii) the Public Grounds and Public Ways upon which the improvements are to be made, (iii) the extent of the improvements, (iv) the time when the City will start the work, and (v) if more than one Public Ground or Public Way is involved, the order in which the work is to proceed. The notice must be given to Company a sufficient length of time in advance of the actual commencement of the work to permit Company to make any necessary additions, alterations or repairs to its Electric Facilities.

3.7 Shared Use of Poles. Company shall make space available on its poles or towers for City fire, water utility, police or other City facilities upon terms and conditions acceptable to Company whenever such use will not interfere with the use of such poles or towers by Company, by another electric utility, by a telephone utility, or by any cable television company or other form of communication company. In addition, the City shall pay for any added cost incurred by Company because of such use by City.

SECTION 4. RELOCATIONS.

4.1 Relocation of Electric Facilities in Public Ways. If the City determines to vacate a Public Way for a City improvement project, or at City's cost to grade, regrade, or change the line of any Public Way, or construct or reconstruct any City Utility System in any Public Way, it may order Company to relocate its Electric Facilities located therein if relocation is reasonably necessary to accomplish the City's proposed public improvement. Except as provided in Section 4.3, Company shall relocate its Electric Facilities at its own expense. The City shall give Company reasonable notice of plans to vacate for a City improvement project, or

to grade, regrade, or change the line of any Public Way or to construct or reconstruct any City Utility System. If a relocation is ordered within five years of a prior relocation of the same Electric Facilities, which was made at Company expense, the City shall reimburse Company for non-betterment costs on a time and material basis, provided that if a subsequent relocation is required because of the extension of a City Utility System to a previously unserved area, Company may be required to make the subsequent relocation at its expense. Nothing in this Ordinance requires Company to relocate, remove, replace or reconstruct at its own expense its Electric Facilities where such relocation, removal, replacement or reconstruction is solely for the convenience of the City and is not reasonably necessary for the construction or reconstruction of a Public Way or City Utility System or other City improvement.

4.2 Relocation of Electric Facilities in Public Ground. City may require Company, at Company's expense, to relocate or remove its Electric Facilities from Public Ground upon a finding by City that the Electric Facilities have become or will become a substantial impairment to the existing or proposed public use of the Public Ground.

4.3 Projects with Federal Funding. City shall not order Company to remove or relocate its Electric Facilities when a Public Way is vacated, improved or realigned for a right-of-way project or any other project which is financially subsidized in whole or in part by the Federal Government or any agency thereof, unless the reasonable non-betterment costs of such relocation are first paid to Company. The City is obligated to pay Company only for those portions of its relocation costs for which City has received federal funding specifically allocated for relocation costs in the amount requested by the Company, which allocated funding the City shall specifically request. Relocation, removal or rearrangement of any Company Electric Facilities made necessary because of a federally- aided highway project shall be governed by the provisions of Minnesota Statutes, Section 161.46, as supplemented or amended. It is understood that the rights herein granted to Company are valuable rights.

4.4 No Waiver. The provisions of this franchise apply only to facilities constructed in reliance on a franchise from the City and shall not be construed to waive or modify any rights obtained by Company for installations within a Company right-of-way acquired by easement or prescriptive right before the applicable Public Ground or Public Way was established, or Company's rights under state or county permit.

SECTION 5. TREE TRIMMING.

Company may trim all trees and shrubs in the Public Grounds and Public Ways of City to the extent Company finds necessary to avoid interference with the proper construction, operation, repair and maintenance of any Electric Facilities installed hereunder, provided that Company shall save the City harmless from any liability arising therefrom, and subject to permit or other reasonable regulation by the City.

SECTION 6. INDEMNIFICATION.

6.1 Indemnity of City. Company shall indemnify, keep and hold the City free and harmless from any and all liability on account of injury to persons or damage to property occasioned by the construction, maintenance, repair, inspection, the issuance of permits, or the operation of the Electric Facilities located in the Public Grounds and Public Ways. The City shall not be indemnified for losses or claims occasioned through its own negligence except for losses or claims arising out of or alleging the City's negligence as to the issuance of permits for, or inspection of, Company's plans or work. The City shall not be indemnified if the injury or damage results from the performance in a proper manner, of acts reasonably deemed hazardous by Company, and such performance is nevertheless ordered or directed by City after notice of Company's determination.

6.2 Defense of City. In the event a suit is brought against the City under circumstances where this agreement to indemnify applies, Company at its sole cost and expense shall defend the City in such suit if written notice thereof is promptly given to Company within a period wherein Company is not prejudiced by lack of such notice. If Company is required to indemnify and defend, it will thereafter have control of such litigation, but Company may not settle such litigation without the consent of the City, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. This section is not, as to third parties, a waiver of any defense or immunity otherwise available to the City and Company, in defending any action on behalf of the City, shall be entitled to assert in any action every defense or immunity that the City could assert in its own behalf.

SECTION 7. VACATION OF PUBLIC WAYS.

The City shall give Company at least two weeks prior written notice of a proposed vacation of a Public Way. Except where required for a City improvement project, the vacation of any Public Way, after the installation of Electric Facilities, shall not operate to deprive Company of its rights to operate and maintain such Electric Facilities, until the reasonable cost of relocating the same and the loss and expense resulting from such relocation are first paid to Company. In no case, however, shall City be liable to Company for failure to specifically preserve a right-of-way under Minnesota Statutes, Section 160.29.

SECTION 8. CHANGE IN FORM OF GOVERNMENT.

Any change in the form of government of the City shall not affect the validity of this Ordinance. Any governmental unit succeeding the City shall, without the consent of Company, succeed to all of the rights and obligations of the City provided in this Ordinance.

SECTION 9. PROVISIONS OF ORDINANCE.

9.1 Severability. Every section, provision, or part of this Ordinance is declared separate from every other section, provision, or part and if any section, provision, or part shall be held invalid, it shall not affect any other section, provision, or part. Where a provision of any other City ordinance conflicts with the provisions of this Ordinance, the provisions of this Ordinance shall prevail.

9.2 Limitation on Applicability. This Ordinance constitutes a franchise agreement between the City and Company as the only parties, and no provision of this franchise shall in any way inure to the benefit of any third person (including the public at large) so as to constitute any such person as a third party beneficiary of the agreement or of any one or more of the terms hereof, or otherwise give rise to any cause of action in any person not a party hereto.

SECTION 10. EFFECTIVE DATE

This ordinance shall become effective upon its due passage, enactment, and publication according to law.

SECTION 11. PREVIOUS FRANCHISES SUPERSEDED.

This franchise supersedes Ordinance No. 342, an ordinance granting permission to Northern States Power Company to construct, operate, repair, and maintain an electric distribution system within the City of La Crescent dated June 6, 1997 is hereby repealed upon this ordinance becoming effective.

Passed and approved: July 10, 2017.

Mayor

Attest:

City Clerk

The foregoing motion was duly seconded by Member Hutchinson and upon a roll call vote taken and tallied by the City Administrator, all Members present voted in favor thereof, viz;

Bernie Buehler	Yes
Ryan Hutchinson	Yes
Brian Krenz	Yes
Dale Williams	Yes
Mike Poellinger	Yes

and none voted against the same. The ordinance was declared duly passed and adopted.

City Attorney Wieser then reviewed with Council the Summary Ordinance for publication. The Council made the following findings of facts: that publication of the summary informs the public of the intent and effect of the Ordinance.

Member Hutchinson then made a motion, seconded by Member Buehler as follows:

MOTION THAT A PRÉCIS FORMAT OF SAID ORDINANCE 515 BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL NEWSPAPER OF THE CITY AND WITH “OFFICIAL COPY” SO MARKED BE KEPT ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Upon a roll call vote taken and tallied by the City Administrator, all Members present voted in favor thereof, viz;

Bernie Buehler	Yes
Ryan Hutchinson	Yes
Brian Krenz	Yes
Dale Williams	Yes
Mike Poellinger	Yes

and none voted against the same. The motion was declared duly carried.

ITEM 3.2 – TRAIN WHISTLES/RAILROAD CROSSINGS

City Engineer Tim Hruska reviewed with City Council research performed by WHKS to determine required modifications to the railroad crossings to meet the Quiet Zone criteria. Questions from City Council were

addressed. Greg Husmann and Bruce Bauer also addressed City Council on this issue. Following discussion by City Council, it was the consensus of City Council to move forward and develop a plan.

ITEM 3.3 – THIRD STREET CROSSING PROPOSALS

City Engineer Tim Hruska reviewed with City Council the quotes received for the Third Street Crossing proposal. The project will install a pedestrian crosswalk flasher system at the west crosswalk at the intersection of Oak Street and 3rd Street South as shown on the reviewed exhibit. The engineering estimate for this project was \$17,000.00. The City received a quote from Poellinger Electric, Inc. of La Crosse, WI for \$13,052.00. It was recommended to City Council to award the contract to Poellinger Electric, Inc. for the project, as they were the low responsive, responsible bidder. It was also recommended that this project be completed before school starts. Member Buehler gave additional input regarding the project. Following discussion, Member Williams made a motion, seconded by Member Buehler, as follows:

MOTION TO ACCEPT THE PROPOSAL FROM POELLINGER ELECTRIC, INC. FOR THE THIRD STREET CROSSING PROJECT AT A COST OF \$13,052.00 AND THAT THE PROJECT BE COMPLETED BEFORE SCHOOL STARTS.

Upon a roll call vote taken and tallied by the City Administrator, the following Members present voted in favor thereof, viz;

Bernie Buehler	Yes
Brian Krenz	Yes
Dale Williams	Yes
Mike Poellinger	Yes

Member Hutchinson abstained from voting and none voted against the same. The motion was declared duly carried by a 4-0 vote.

ITEM 3.4 – STORM WATER ANNUAL MEETING

City Engineer Tim Hruska was in attendance to conduct the City's annual storm water meeting which is a requirement of the City's annual storm water report that is submitted to the MPCA. This is a joint meeting between the City and Houston County. Houston County Engineer Brian Pogodzinski was also in attendance. Member Williams asked the County Engineer about the sand on Elm Street and if the usage in the City is the same as in rural areas. Mayor Poellinger opened the meeting for public comment. Public comments were heard from Greg Husmann and Bruce Bauer. The public comment portion was then closed. This item was informational and no action was taken.

ITEM 3.5 – 2017 STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT PROPOSALS

City Engineer Tim Hruska reviewed with City Council the quotes received for the 2017 Street Improvement Projects. The first project quotes were for seal coating the roads as shown on the exhibit reviewed by City Council. The engineering estimate for this project was \$29,455.24. The City received the following quotes: Scott Construction of Lake Delton, WI for \$29,165.00; Fahrner Asphalt Sealers, LLC of Eau Claire, WI for \$34,255.00; and Allied Blacktop Company of Maple Grove, MN for 39,082.00. It was recommended to City Council to award the contract to Scott Construction for the project, as they were the low responsive, responsible

bidder. The second project quotes were for installing an erosion control channel and paving Stoney Point Road as shown on the reviewed exhibit. The engineering estimate for this project was \$94,900.00. The City received a quote from Zenke Inc. of La Crescent, MN for \$141,644.75 with Alternate #1 for paving the portion of Stoney Point road with Bituminous Pavement in lieu of Seal Coat- 2 Coats for \$119,825.30. It was recommended that this bid be reviewed and discussed by City Council. Following discussion, Member Williams made a motion, seconded by Member Krenz, as follows:

MOTION TO ACCEPT THE PROPOSAL FROM SCOTT CONSTRUCTION FOR \$29,165.00 FOR SEAL COATING THE ROADS AS SHOWN ON THE EXHIBIT REVIEWED BY CITY COUNCIL AND TO BRING BACK A RECOMMENDATION AT THE NEXT CITY COUNCIL MEETING FOR THE PROJECT OF INSTALLING AN EROSION CONTROL CHANNEL AND PAVING STONEY POINT ROAD .

Upon a roll call vote taken and tallied by the City Administrator, all Members present voted in favor thereof, viz;

Bernie Buehler	Yes
Ryan Hutchinson	Yes
Brian Krenz	Yes
Dale Williams	Yes
Mike Poellinger	Yes

and none voted against the same. The motion was declared duly carried.

ITEM 3.6 – OPTION ON RACE TRACK PROPERTY

This item was placed on the agenda at the request of Member Hutchinson. This item was discussed by Member Hutchinson, followed by discussion from City Council. Greg Husmann and Bruce Bauer also addressed the City Council on this item. Following discussion, Member Hutchinson made a motion, seconded by Member Krenz, as follows:

MOTION TO DIRECT CITY STAFF TO BRING BACK AN OPTION, AT A FUTURE CITY COUNCIL MEETING, TO PURCHASE THE RACE TRACK PROPERTY.

Following further discussion, Member Hutchinson and Member Krenz rescinded this motion. Member Hutchinson then made a motion, seconded by Member Krenz, as follows:

MOTION TO DIRECT CITY STAFF TO HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH THE PROPERTY OWNER TO DETERMINE THE PROPERTY OWNER’S INTEREST IN SELLING THE PROPERTY, POTENTIAL TIME FRAME, AND PURCHASE PRICE.

Upon a roll call vote taken and tallied by the City Administrator, all Members present voted in favor thereof, viz;

Bernie Buehler	Yes
Ryan Hutchinson	Yes
Brian Krenz	Yes

Dale Williams Yes
Mike Poellinger Yes

and none voted against the same. The motion was declared duly carried.

ITEM 8.1 – CORRESPONDENCE – MARTHA SCHWEM AND BOB ROBINSON

City Council reviewed a correspondence from Martha Schwem and Bob Robinson of 218 Shore Acres Road regarding water traffic and the disturbance of fishing boats during fishing tournaments on the river in the area of their home. No action taken.

ITEM 8.2 – CORRESPONDENCE – STATE DEMOGRAPHER – 2016 POPULATION ESTIMATE

City Council reviewed a correspondence dated June 1, 2017 from the State Demographic Center with the population and household estimates for 2016. The total population estimate as of April 1, 2016 was 5,174. No action taken.

ITEM 9 – CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

The Chamber of Commerce did not have a representative at the meeting.

There being no further business to come before the Council at this time, Member Krenz made a motion, seconded by Member Hutchinson, to adjourn the meeting. Upon a roll call vote taken and tallied by the City Administrator, all Members voted in favor thereof, viz;

Bernie Buehler Yes
Ryan Hutchinson Yes
Brian Krenz Yes
Dale Williams Yes
Mike Poellinger Yes

and none voted against the same. The motion was declared duly carried and the meeting duly adjourned at 6:59 PM.

APPROVAL DATE: _____

SIGNED:

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Administrator